Measurement of FCNC decays $K^{\pm}\to\pi^{\pm}l^{+}l^{-}$ by NA48/2 at CERN

Evgueni Goudzovski

(University of Birmingham)

on behalf of the **NA48/2** collaboration

(Cambridge, CERN, Chicago, Dubna, Edinburgh, Ferrara, Florence, Mainz, Northwestern, Perugia, Pisa, Saclay, Siegen, Turin, Vienna)

Outline:

1) Beams, detector and data taking in 2003/04;
2) $K^{\pm}\to\pi^{\pm}e^{+}e^{-}$ analysis: NA48/2 final results;
3) $K^{\pm}\to\pi^{\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ analysis: analysis status and prospects;
4) Summary.

Kaon 2009 conference

Tsukuba, Japan • June 9, 2008

NA48/NA62 experiments

NA48	1997: ε'/ε run K_L+K_S	
	1998: K_L+K_S	
	1999: K_L+K_S	K_S HI
	2000: K_L only	K_S HI
	2001: K_L+K_S	K_S HI
NA48/1	2002: K_S/hyperons HI	
NA48/2	2003: K^+/K^-	
	2004: K^+/K^-	
NA62 (phase I)	2007: $K^{\pm}_{e2}/K^{\pm}_{\mu 2}$	tests
	2008: $K^{\pm}_{e2}/K^{\pm}_{\mu 2}$	tests
NA62 (phase II)	2006–2010: design & construction 2011: start of $K^+\to\pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$ run	

NA48/NA62: a series of experiments, present-day CERN kaon physics programme

The presented results are based on 2003/04 data



NA48/2: kaon beam line



The NA48 detector

Main detector components:

- Magnetic spectrometer (4 DCHs):
  4 views/DCH: redundancy ⇒efficiency;
  used in trigger logic;
  $\Delta p/p = 1.0\% + 0.044\% * p$ [GeV/c].

- Hodoscope
  fast trigger;
  precise track time measurement (150ps).

- Liquid Krypton EM calorimeter (LKr)
  High granularity, quasi-homogenious;
  $\sigma_E/E = 3.2\%/E^{1/2} + 9\%/E + 0.42\%$ [GeV];
  $\sigma_x=\sigma_y=0.42/E^{1/2} + 0.6$mm (1.5mm@10GeV).
  Used for γ detection and particle ID.

- Hadron calorimeter, muon veto counters, photon vetoes.



NA48/2 data taking: completed

A view of the NA48/2 beam line

2003 run: ~ 50 days
2004 run: ~ 60 days

$K_{3\pi}$ statistics in 2 years:

$K^{\pm} \to \pi^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{\pm}$: ~$4 \cdot 10^{9}$

$K^{\pm} \to \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\pi^{\pm}$: ~$1 \cdot 10^{8}$

Rare $K^{\pm}$ decays:
BRs down to 10^{-9}
can be measured

>200 TB of data recorded

$K_{\pi ll}$: motivation & theory

$K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\gamma^* \to \pi^{\pm}l^+l^-$: suppressed FCNC process proceeding through single virtual photon exchange. Information on weak interactions at low energy.

$d\Gamma_{\pi ee}/dz \sim P(z)\cdot|W(z)|^2$ $z=(M_{ee}/M_K)^2$, $P(z)$ is a phase space factor

Considered models for the form factor:

(1) polynomial: $W(z) = G_F M_K^2 \cdot f_0 \cdot (1+\delta z)$

(2) ChPT O(p^6): $W(z) = G_F M_K^2 \cdot (a_+ + b_+ z) + W^{\pi\pi}(z)$
G. D'Ambrosio et al., JHEP 9808 (1998) 4

(3) ChPT, large-N_c QCD: $W(z) = W(w, \beta, z)$
S. Friot, D. Greynat, E. de Rafael, PLB 595 (2004) 301

(4) "Mesonic" ChPT: $W(z) = W(M_a, M_\rho, z)$
A.Z. Dubnickova et al., Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. 5 (2008) 76 [hep-ph/0611175]

Goals: 1) $d\Gamma/dz$ and model-independent BR in kinematic range $z>0.08$;
2) parameters of the models + BRs in the full kinematic range;
3) upper limit for CPV charge asymmetry of decay rates.

Principal selection criteria

The $K^{\pm}\to\pi^{\pm}e^{+}e^{-}$ is measured normalizing to $K^{\pm}\to\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}_{D}\to\pi^{\pm}e^{+}e^{-}\gamma$.
Thus particle ID efficiencies cancel in the first order.

Common selection criteria:

3-track vertex [consistent in space/time],
one π candidate, two opposite sign electron candidates.
Electron (pion) ID based on E deposition : $E/p>0.95$ ($E/p<0.85$).

Signal selection:

Kinematic suppression of $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}_{D}$ background: $M_{ee}>140 MeV/c^{2}$.
Limitations on reconstructed $\pi^{\pm}e^{+}e^{-}$ invariant mass, total & transverse momentum

Normalization selection:

Selection of good γ candidate.
Limitations on reconstructed $e^{+}e^{-}\gamma$ and $\pi^{\pm}e^{+}e^{-}\gamma$ masses, total & transverse momentum.

Signal & normalisation samples

Total kaon decays in fiducial volume (2003+2004): $N_K = 1.7 \times 10^{11}$



7253 candidates

Background/Signal = 1.0%

($K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^0_D$, $K^{\pm} \to \pi^0_D e^{\pm} \nu$ + particle misID, two $e^{+}e^{-}$ pairs: $\pi^0_{D(D)}$, γ conversions). Subtracted with same-sign lepton candidates.



12.12 mln candidates

Background/Signal = 0.15%

($K^{\pm} \to \pi^0_D \mu^{\pm} \nu$, subtracted with simulation)

The accessible M_{ee} region

All analysis cuts except the M_{ee} cut are applied





- The region $M_{ee}<140\text{MeV}/c^2$ is dominated by background and not accessible;
- Subtraction of the $K^{\pm}\to\pi^{\pm}\pi^0_{DD}$ reveals the $\pi^0\to e^+e^-$ signal (~500 events).

Kinematic variables: (z, $\cos\theta_{e\pi}$)





z distribution is sensitive to the form-factor and contains the dynamical information:
$d\Gamma/dz \sim P(z) \times |W(z)|^2$.

Decay via one photon exchange:
$d\Gamma/d\theta \sim \sin^2\theta = (1-\cos^2\theta)$,
θ = angle between (e^+,π) in (e^+,e^-) frame.
No dynamical information in this projection.

Fit results (1)



Model-independent BR (integral of dΓ/dz):
$BR_{mi}(z>0.08)=(2.28\pm0.03)\times10^{-7}$

Model parameters
with their statistical errors
and correlation coefficients:

(1)
$f_0 = 0.531\pm0.012$
$\delta = 2.32\pm0.15$
$\rho(\delta, f_0) = -0.962$

(2)
$a_+ = -0.578\pm0.012$
$b_+ = -0.779\pm0.053$
$\rho(a_+, b_+) = -0.913$

(3)
$w = 0.057\pm0.005$
$\beta = 3.45\pm0.24$
$\rho(w, \beta) = 0.999$

(4)
$M_a = (0.951\pm0.028)$ GeV
$M_\rho = (0.705\pm0.010)$ GeV
$\rho(M_a, M_\rho) = 0.998$

Fit results (2)

Squared form factors normalised by $|W(0)|^2=1$



Fit results (3)

68% CL contours





Fit results (4)

$BR_{mi}\times10^7$ =	2.28 ±	0.03_{stat} ±	0.04_{syst} ±	0.06_{ext}	=	2.28 ± 0.08
f_0 =	0.531 ±	0.012_{stat} ±	0.008_{syst} ±	0.007_{ext}	=	0.531 ± 0.016
δ =	2.32 ±	0.15_{stat} ±	0.09_{syst}		=	2.32 ± 0.18
$BR_1\times10^7$ =	3.05 ±	0.04_{stat} ±	0.05_{syst} ±	0.08_{ext}	=	3.05 ± 0.10
a_+ =	–0.578 ±	0.012_{stat} ±	0.008_{syst} ±	0.007_{ext}	=	–0.578 ± 0.016
b_+ =	–0.779 ±	0.053_{stat} ±	0.036_{syst} ±	0.017_{ext}	=	–0.779 ± 0.066
$BR_2\times10^7$ =	3.14 ±	0.04_{stat} ±	0.05_{syst} ±	0.08_{ext}	=	3.14 ± 0.10
w =	0.057 ±	0.005_{stat} ±	0.004_{syst} ±	0.001_{ext}	=	0.057 ± 0.007
β =	3.45 ±	0.24_{stat} ±	0.17_{syst} ±	0.05_{ext}	=	3.45 ± 0.30
$BR_3\times10^7$ =	3.13 ±	0.04_{stat} ±	0.05_{syst} ±	0.08_{ext}	=	3.13 ± 0.10
M_a =	0.974 ±	0.030_{stat} ±	0.019_{syst} ±	0.002_{ext}	=	0.974 ± 0.035 [GeV/c]
M_ρ =	0.716 ±	0.011_{stat} ±	0.007_{syst} ±	0.002_{ext}	=	0.716 ± 0.014 [GeV/c]
$BR_4\times10^7$ =	3.18 ±	0.04_{stat} ±	0.05_{syst} ±	0.08_{ext}	=	3.18 ± 0.10

Including uncertainty due to the model dependence,

$$BR = (3.11\pm0.04_{stat}\pm0.05_{syst}\pm0.08_{ext}\pm 0.07_{model})\times10^{-7} = (3.11\pm0.12)\times10^{-7}$$

CPV parameter (first measurement; only uncorrelated K^+/K^- uncertainties):

$$\Delta(K^\pm_{\pi ee}) = (BR^+ - BR^-) / (BR^+ + BR^-) = (-2.1\pm1.5_{stat}\pm0.6_{syst})\times10^{-2}$$

Comparison: FF slope δ

Measurement	Process	Result
Alliegro et al., PRL 68 (1992) 278	$K^+ \to \pi^+ e^+ e^-$	1.31 ± 0.48
Appel et al. [E865], PRL 83 (1999) 4482	$K^+ \to \pi^+ e^+ e^-$	2.14 ± 0.20
Ma et al. [E865], PRL 84 (2000) 2580	$K^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	$2.45^{+1.30}_{-0.95}$
NA48/2, arXiv:0903:3130 (2009)	$K^\pm \to \pi^\pm e^+ e^-$	2.32 ± 0.18



→ NA48/2 measurement of δ is compatible with the earlier results, has good precision;

→ A contradiction of the data to the meson dominance models observed earlier is further confirmed;

→ NA48/2 values of (f_0,a_+,b_+,w, β) are in agreement with BNL E865 ones.

Comparison: BR in full z range

Measurement	Sample	BR×10^7
Bloch et al., PL 56 (1975) B201	41 (K^+)	2.70±0.50
Alliegro et al., PRL 68 (1992) 278	500 (K^+)	2.75±0.26
Appel et al. [E865], PRL 83 (1999) 4482	10,300 (K^+)	2.94±0.15
NA48/2, arXiv:0903:3130 (2009)	7,300 ($K^\pm$)	3.11±0.12



Comparison of E865 vs NA48/2 results taking into account correlated uncertainties (normalization and model dependence): 1.6σ difference.

The NA48/2 results are final and published: CERN-PH-EP-2009-005, arXiv:0903:3130, accepted by Physics Letters B.

$K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ analysis (1)





NA48/2: N=3,100 $K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ candidates with 3% background.
Background well described by both $K_{3\pi}$ MC and same-sign muon samples.
Cf. total world sample is ~700 events.

$K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ analysis (2)





Analysis is well advanced,
we aim to present the preliminary results in 2009.

Summary

- Precise study of the $K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} e^{+} e^{-}$ decay (BR$\sim 3 \times 10^{-7}$):
  → sample & precision comparable to world's best ones;
  → (BR, FF) agree to theory and earlier measurements;
  → first limit on the CPV charge asymmetry obtained;
  → final results published recently.

- Precise study of the $K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ decay (BR$\sim 0.8 \times 10^{-7}$):
  → NA48/2 sample ~4 times larger than total world sample;
  → background is low and under control;
  → aim at preliminary results in 2009 – stay tuned!