

## RECENT NA48/2 RESULTS ON $K_{e4}$ AND $K3\pi$ DECAYS AND DETERMINATION OF THE $\pi\pi$ SCATTERING LENGTHS

ANDREA BIZZETI

*Department of Physics, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, I-41100 Modena, Italy  
and*

*I.N.F.N., Sezione di Firenze, I-50018 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy  
andrea.bizzeti@fi.infn.it*

FOR THE NA48/2 COLLABORATION

Large samples of  $K^\pm \rightarrow 3\pi$  and  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^\pm\nu$  ( $K_{e4}$ ) decays have been collected by the NA48/2 experiment at CERN SPS.

At the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  threshold, the  $\pi^0\pi^0$  invariant mass spectrum of the decay  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$  exhibits a Wigner cusp, from which the S-wave  $\pi\pi$  scattering lengths are extracted with high precision.

The same scattering lengths are also independently determined from the accurate measurement of the form factors in the  $K_{e4}$  decay  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^\pm\nu$ .

*Keywords:* Charged kaon; cusp;  $K_{e4}$ ; scattering length.

PACS numbers: 11.55.Hx, 13.60.Hb, 25.20.Lj

### 1. The NA48/2 Experimental Set-Up and Data Samples

The main goal of the NA48/2 experiment at CERN SPS is the search for direct CP violation in  $K^\pm \rightarrow 3\pi$  decays<sup>1</sup>.

This experiment<sup>a</sup> detects in-flight decays of charged kaons from two simultaneous oppositely charged beams of  $(60\pm 3)$  GeV/c momentum, produced by 400 GeV/c protons from CERN SPS impinging on a 40 cm long beryllium target.

The charged particle reconstruction is provided by a magnetic spectrometer, consisting of a dipole magnet and four drift chambers, with a spatial resolution of 100  $\mu\text{m}$  and a momentum resolution  $\Delta p/p = (1.0 \oplus 0.044p[\text{GeV}/c])\%$ .

The energy and position of photons and electrons are precisely measured by a Liquid Krypton electromagnetic calorimeter, consisting of a  $27X_0$  almost homogeneous ionization chamber with high-granularity tower read-out: its energy resolution is  $\Delta E/E = 3.2\%/\sqrt{E[\text{GeV}]} \oplus 9\%/E[\text{GeV}] \oplus 0.42\%$  and its spatial resolution about 1.5 mm.

<sup>a</sup> A sketch and a short description of the experimental apparatus have been presented at this Conference by S. Venditti<sup>2</sup>, together with results on radiative decays.

A scintillator hodoscope for fast triggering and precise time measurement, muon and photon veto counters and an iron-scintillator hadron calorimeter complete the experimental apparatus, a detailed description of which can be found in Ref. 3.

The NA48/2 experiment took data during two runs in 2003 and 2004, collecting unprecedented data samples of  $\sim 4 \cdot 10^9$   $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\sim 10^8$   $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0$  and  $\sim 10^6$   $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^\pm \nu$  ( $K_{e4}$ ) decays.

## 2. The Cusp Effect in $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0$ Decays

The analysis of a partial sample of  $2.4 \cdot 10^7$   $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0$  decays<sup>4</sup> showed a cusp anomaly in the  $\pi^0 \pi^0$  invariant mass ( $M_{00}$ ) spectrum at  $M_{00} \simeq 2m_{\pi^+}$ . This anomaly, never observed in previous experiments, was theoretically interpreted<sup>5</sup> as a threshold cusp effect mainly due to final state charge-exchange scattering  $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$  in  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$  decays. A simple rescattering model has been proposed<sup>5</sup> by Cabibbo, describing the  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0$  decay amplitude as the sum of two terms:

$$\mathcal{M}(K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0) = \mathcal{M}_0 + \mathcal{M}_1, \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is the ‘‘unperturbed’’ amplitude and  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is the contribution from  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay through  $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$  charge exchange, with the renormalization condition  $\mathcal{M}_1 = 0$  at  $M_{00}^2 = (2m_{\pi^+})^2$ . The contribution  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = -2a_x m_{\pi^+} \mathcal{M}_+ \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{M_{00}}{2m_{\pi^+}}\right)^2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $a_x$  is the S-wave  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  charge-exchange scattering length, and  $\mathcal{M}_+$  the known  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^+ \pi^-$  decay amplitude at  $M_{00} = 2m_{\pi^+}$ . As  $\mathcal{M}_1$  changes from real to imaginary at  $M_{00} = 2m_{\pi^+}$ , it interferes destructively with  $\mathcal{M}_0$  in the region below  $M_{00} = 2m_{\pi^+}$ , while it adds quadratically above it. In the limit of exact isospin symmetry  $a_x = \frac{1}{3}(a_0 - a_2)$ , where  $a_0$  and  $a_2$  are the S-wave  $\pi\pi$  scattering lengths in the I=0 and I=2 states, respectively. The experimental measurement of this effect thus provides a precise determination of  $a_0 - a_2$  (including its sign).

A more detailed model<sup>6</sup> proposed by Cabibbo and Isidori (CI) takes into account all  $\pi\pi$  rescattering processes at the one-loop and two-loop level. In this approach the matrix element of the  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \pi^0 \pi^0$  decay includes several additional terms depending on five S-wave scattering lengths corresponding to the processes  $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ ,  $\pi^+ \pi^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+$ ,  $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $\pi^+ \pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$  and  $\pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ , which, in the limit of exact isospin symmetry, can be expressed as linear combinations of  $a_0$  and  $a_2$ . This model allows to extract from high-precision experimental data both  $a_0 - a_2$  and  $a_2$ . The theoretical error on  $a_0 - a_2$  (due to radiative corrections, isospin symmetry breaking and higher order diagrams, not taken into account in the model) has been evaluated to be about 5%. The small isospin symmetry breaking effect of electromagnetic interactions on the five scattering lengths can be taken




Fig. 1. (Left)  $\pi^0\pi^0$  invariant mass distribution in  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$  decays. A cusp at  $M_{00} \simeq 2m_{\pi^+}$  is clearly visible. (Right) Expanded view of the region around  $M_{00} = 2m_{\pi^+}$ , with fits to models including<sup>6</sup> (continuous and not including<sup>10</sup> (dashed curve)  $\pi\pi$  rescattering effects (see text).

into account (at tree level, omitting one-photon exchange diagrams) as first-order corrections in the parameter  $\epsilon = (m_{\pi^+}^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2)/m_{\pi^+}^2 = 0.065$  (Ref. 7).

An effective field theory calculation<sup>8,9</sup> by Colangelo, Gasser, Kubis and Rusetsky (CGKR) obtains results close, but not identical, to those of Ref. 6.

### 3. Measurement of $\pi\pi$ Scattering Lengths from the Cusp Effect

A dedicated analysis of the full (2003+2004) data sample has been performed, selecting  $6 \cdot 10^7$   $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$  events. The cusp in the  $\pi^0\pi^0$  invariant mass ( $M_{00}$ ) distribution is clearly visible in Fig. 1 at  $M_{00}^2 \simeq (2m_{\pi^+})^2$ .

A fit (dashed curve) to the parameterization proposed in Ref. 10, not taking into account  $\pi\pi$  rescattering processes, clearly disagrees with data at  $M_{00} \leq 2m_{\pi^+}$ .

A fit (continuous curve) to the rescattering model of Ref. 6, corrected to take into account the small effect of isospin symmetry breaking<sup>7</sup>, agrees well with data almost everywhere. As the rescattering model<sup>6</sup> used in the fit does not include radiative corrections, which are particularly important near  $M_{00} = 2m_{\pi^+}$  and contribute to the formation of  $\pi^+\pi^-$  atoms (pionium), we excluded from the fit a group of seven points centered at  $M_{00} = 2m_{\pi^+}$ .

The quality of this fit is illustrated in Fig. 2, which also shows the small excess of events in the bins excluded from the fit, peaking at  $M_{00}^2 \simeq (2m_{\pi^+})^2$ .

The measured amount of such excess events, normalized to the number of  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm\pi^0\pi^0$  decays, is

$$\frac{N(\text{excess events at } M_{00} \simeq 2m_{\pi^+})}{N(K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm\pi^0\pi^0)} = (5.6 \pm 1.0) \cdot 10^{-5}. \quad (3)$$




Fig. 2.  $\Delta = (\text{data-fit})/\text{data}$  versus  $M_{00}^2$ , obtained from the fit of  $M_{00}^2$  spectrum with the rescattering model of Ref. 6. Seven points centered at  $M_{00}^2 = (2m_{\pi^+})^2$  have been excluded from the fit region. In this “pionium region” a small but significant peak is clearly visible.

These “excess events” can be explained as due to electromagnetic effects<sup>11</sup>, including those leading to a  $\pi^+\pi^-$  bound state  $A_{2\pi}$  (pionium)<sup>12</sup> through the decay  $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm A_{2\pi}$  (the pionium then decays  $A_{2\pi} \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ ).

In order to extract the S-wave  $\pi\pi$  scattering lengths, the  $\pi^0\pi^0$  invariant mass spectrum of Fig. 1 has been fitted using the theoretical models proposed in Refs. 6 (CI) and 8 (CGKR).

It has been shown<sup>13</sup> that analyticity and chiral symmetry provide a constraint between  $a_0$  and  $a_2$  scattering lengths:

$$a_2 m_{\pi^+} = (-0.0444 \pm 0.0008) + 0.236x - 0.61x^2 - 9.9x^3 \quad [x \equiv (a_0 m_{\pi^+} - 0.22)]. \quad (4)$$

By imposing this constraint in the fit, we obtain the following results:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(CI):} \quad & (a_0 - a_2)m_{\pi^+} = 0.268 \pm 0.003_{stat} \pm 0.002_{syst} \pm 0.001_{ext} \\ \text{(CGKR):} \quad & (a_0 - a_2)m_{\pi^+} = 0.266 \pm 0.003_{stat} \pm 0.002_{syst} \pm 0.001_{ext} . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If we do not impose the  $a_2(a_0)$  constraint, but consider both  $a_0 - a_2$  and  $a_2$  as free parameters, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(CI):} \quad & (a_0 - a_2)m_{\pi^+} = 0.266 \pm 0.005_{stat} \pm 0.002_{syst} \pm 0.001_{ext} \\ & a_2 m_{\pi^+} = -0.039 \pm 0.009_{stat} \pm 0.006_{syst} \pm 0.002_{ext} \\ \text{(CGKR):} \quad & (a_0 - a_2)m_{\pi^+} = 0.273 \pm 0.005_{stat} \pm 0.002_{syst} \pm 0.001_{ext} \\ & a_2 m_{\pi^+} = -0.065 \pm 0.015_{stat} \pm 0.010_{syst} \pm 0.002_{ext} . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The third (“external”) error in these results originates from the uncertainty on the branching ratio  $\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0)$ <sup>14</sup>. The uncertainty of the theoretical model is not included in the errors above: for CI<sup>6</sup> it has been estimated to be about  $\pm 5\%$  on  $a_0 - a_2$ , while no estimate is given for CGKR<sup>8</sup>.

#### 4. Measurement of $\pi\pi$ Scattering Lengths from $K_{e4}$ Decays

$K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^\pm\nu$  decays are of particular interest for the study of  $\pi\pi$  phase shift difference  $\delta = \delta_0^0 - \delta_1^1$  in absence of any other hadron. The measured variation of this phase with the  $\pi\pi$  invariant mass ( $M_{\pi\pi}$ ) near threshold can be related to

$a_0$  and  $a_2$  scattering lengths using dispersion relations and data at intermediate energies<sup>15,16,17</sup>. In a recently published analysis<sup>18</sup>, based on a partial sample of about 670,000  $K_{e4}$  decays collected in 2003, we measured the form factors and the phase  $\delta$  in ten independent bins of  $M_{\pi\pi}$  and extracted the  $\pi\pi$  scattering lengths  $a_0 m_{\pi^+} = 0.233 \pm 0.016_{stat} \pm 0.007_{syst}$ ,  $a_2 m_{\pi^+} = -0.047 \pm 0.011_{stat} \pm 0.004_{syst}$ .

These values are in good agreement with the results of our  $K_{3\pi}$  cusp analysis and with the determination of  $|a_0 - a_2|$  from pionium lifetime by the DIRAC experiment<sup>19</sup>  $|a_0 - a_2| m_{\pi^+} = 0.264^{+0.033}_{-0.020}$ . Further theoretical developments<sup>20</sup> suggest that isospin symmetry breaking effects, neglected so far, should be considered when extracting  $\pi\pi$  scattering lengths from phase measurements.

The analysis of the full NA48/2 data sample (about one million  $K_{e4}$  decays), taking into account isospin breaking corrections<sup>20</sup>, is nearly finished and will reach a statistical precision on  $a_0$  and  $a_2$  at the level of the present uncertainty on the corresponding theoretical predictions from Chiral Perturbation Theory<sup>21</sup>.

## References

1. J.R. Batley *et al.* [NA48/2 Collaboration], *Phys. Lett.* **B634**, 474 (2006); *Phys. Lett.* **B638**, 22 (2006); *Eur. Phys. J.* **C52**, 875 (2007).
2. S. Venditti, contribution to these Proceedings.
3. V. Fanti *et al.* [NA48 Collaboration], *Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. Sect. A* **574**, 433 (2007).
4. J.R. Batley *et al.* [NA48/2 Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **633**, 173 (2006).
5. N. Cabibbo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 121801 (2004).
6. N. Cabibbo, G. Isidori, *JHEP* **0503**, 21 (2005).
7. K. Maltman, C.E. Wolfe, *Phys. Lett. B* **393**, 19 (1997), erratum *ibid.* **424**, 413 (1998); M. Knecht, R. Urech, *Nucl. Phys. B* **519**, 329 (1998).
8. G. Colangelo, J. Gasser, B. Kubis, A. Rusetsky, *Phys. Lett. B* **638** 187 (2006).
9. A. Rusetsky, contribution to these Proceedings.
10. S. Eidelman *et al.* [Particle Data Group], *Phys. Lett. B* **592**, 616 (2004).
11. S.R. Gevorkian, A.V. Tarasov, O.O. Voskresenskaya, *Phys. Lett. B* **649**, 29 (1978).
12. Z.K. Silagadze, *JETP Lett.* **60**, 689 (1994), *ArXiv:hep-ph/9411382*;  
H. Pilkuhn, S. Wycech, *Phys. Lett. B* **76**, 29 (1978).
13. G. Colangelo, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5008 (2001).
14. W.-M. Yao *et al.* [Particle Data Group], *J. Phys. G* **33**, 1 (2006).
15. S. Roy, *Phys. Lett. B* **36**, 353 (1971).
16. B. Ananthanarayan, G. Colangelo, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, *Phys. Rep.* **353**, 207 (2001).
17. S. Descotes, N. Fuchs, L. Girlanda, J. Stern, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **24**, 469 (2002).
18. J.R. Batley *et al.* [NA48/2 Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **54**, 411 (2008).
19. B. Adeva *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. B* **619**, 50 (2005).
20. J. Gasser, in *Proc. Kaon International Conference (KAON'07)*, PoS(KAON)033, *ArXiv:hep-ph/0710.3048v1*, (2007).
21. G. Colangelo, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, *Nucl. Phys. B* **603**, 125 (2001).